

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/889,243	MIYAZAKI ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
ALEX NOGUROLA	1753		

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** *non-final rejection*

(1) ALEX NOGUROLA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alan Miller. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 May 2004 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**  
 Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*45, 46, 66*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner and Mr. Miller discussed how to amend claims 45, 46, and 66 to avoid 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejections.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alexander Noguerola  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)